

CRM-M-45278 of 2021
Date of Decision:25.2.2022

Sudhama Ram and others

---Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

---Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Manjinder Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J.

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No. 122 dated 31.7.2021 under Sections 306 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Mehtian District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel *inter alia* contends that the deceased, who is daughter-in-law of petitioner No.1 and 2 and sister-in-law of petitioner No.3 allegedly abetted the suicide of the deceased, as they declined to hand over her jewellery to her for getting the sale deed registered qua some property, purchased by the deceased. Learned counsel submits that on the face of it, the allegations levelled cannot be believed and are incorrect because the sale deed already stood registered even prior to the date of alleged occurrence i.e.,30th July 2021. He thus submits that essential ingredients to attract the mischief of Sections 306 and 107 IPC are amiss in the case in hand. Learned counsel states that pursuant to order dated 29.10.2021,

passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation and co-operated during the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Surinder Pal does not dispute the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners. He further submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and co-operated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions states that the petitioners are not required for further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 29.10.2021, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

25.2.2022
PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No