

210

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-9322-2016

Date of decision: 20.12.2022

BHINDER SINGH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. G. P. S. Bal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sehajbir Singh Aulakh, AAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking to challenge the seniority list as prepared by the respondent-department since the persons junior to the petitioner have been promoted ignoring the claim of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would very fairly contend that since the Officers' Committee as constituted under the orders of this Court in **CWP No.566 of 2010**, titled as **Ranjit Singh and others versus State of Punjab and others**, decided on **04.03.2010**, is functional, he would be satisfied at the present moment, if the entire case of the petitioner herein is placed before the said Officers' Committee for consideration.

Learned State counsel would raise no objection to the prayer made and submits that the matter of the petitioner would be considered by the

Officers' Committee so constituted.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the limited prayer made, let the instant writ petition be placed before the Officers' Committee for consideration of the petitioner's grievance. The petitioner herein would be given one opportunity of personal hearing by the Officers' Committee to present his case. Let a speaking order be passed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order, in accordance with law. The decision taken be also communicated to the petitioner herein, who would be at liberty to challenge the same, in case his grievance still survives.

Disposed of in the abovesaid terms.

20.12.2022
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No