

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CRM-M-41394-2022

Date of Decision: 09.09.2022

Rakesh Verma and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the State has opposed the present petition on the grounds that the strange type of prayers for keeping the proceedings in abeyance, has been made.

Given the nature of order this Court proposes to pass that such objections need not to be required to answer.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter is likely to be compromised on or before 31.10.2022 and in any case, he would be filing the petition for quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise.

Given above, the proceedings in the FIR No.18 dated 09.02.2019 (P-1) shall keep in abeyance till 31.10.2022. Petitioner to file a petition for quashing of the FIR on the basis of compromise to show his bonafide. In case the compromise cannot take place or there is any delay in execution of compromise, the petitioner shall be permitted to file a fresh petition for extension of time by annexing the proof of such development.

Petition is allowed to the extent above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

09.09.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No