

105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 20507 of 2022
Date of Decision: 09.09.2022

Kirandeep Kaur and others

-Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. B.S. Rana, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. P.S. Bawa, Advocate, and
Mr. Nayandeep Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that route permit was duly issued in favour of the petitioners, but regular joint time table has not been provided on route Killianwali to Jalandhar. The route Malout to Killianwali has already been approved, but route Malout to Muktsar, Muktsar to Kotkapura, Kotkapura to Moga and Moga to Jalandhar still remain to be approved.

Precisely for the claim in question, the petitioners have also issued a legal notice dated 02.08.2022.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied in case their

legal notice dated 02.08.2022 is directed to be decided by the competent authority/ respondent No.2 by passing a speaking order in accordance with law at the earliest.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Addl. A.G., Punjab appears on the basis of advance notice and submits that the legal notice shall be decided by the competent authority at the earliest.

In view of nature of prayer made by the petitioner, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take note of pending legal notice dated 02.08.2022 got issued by the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

09.09.2022

Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No