

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.105

**CWP-20974-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 18.10.2022**

Charanjit Kumar

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Pardeep Panwar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Appeal and revision filed by the petitioner challenging the order of Collector appointing respondent No.4 as the Lambardar have been dismissed and thus, the present writ petition has been filed.

A perusal of the revisional order passed by the Financial Commissioner shows that the candidature of the petitioner was rejected *inter alia* on the ground of a criminal case having been registered against him, even though, he was acquitted therein. It has been held that the record of respondent No.4 is clean and thus, the order of the Collector preferring him for the post of Lambardar cannot be said to be perverse.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to establish any illegality or perversity in the impugned order and thus, no interference is called for.

The writ petition is dismissed.

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

18.10.2022

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No