

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.101

CRM-M-40925 of 2022

Date of Decision: 12.09.2022

Aditya

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Arpandeeep Narula, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Though the present petition has been filed seeking grant of anticipatory bail but learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in fact, the petitioner has done no wrong, which fact will be proved during the trial, hence, the only prayer of the petitioner is that the petitioner intends to surrender before the trial Court as challan has already been presented and in case regular bail application is preferred by the petitioner after surrender, the trial Court be directed to decide the same expeditiously.

Keeping in view the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been not pressed any further as the petitioner intends to surrender. In case, the petitioner surrenders before trial Court and files an application seeking grant of regular bail, the same be decided expeditiously in accordance with law, preferably within a period of 7 days from the filing of the same.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

12.09.2022

Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No