

318

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41182-2022

Date of decision : 21.11.2022

Parveen Lata @ Parveen

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Iqbal S. Mann, DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in
FIR No.185 dated 03.08.2022 registered under Sections 420, 120-B of
Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sidhwan Bet, District Ludhiana
Rural.

On 09.09.2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Inter alia, contends that the petitioner is a 73 year old lady and is not involved in any other case. It is submitted that as per the FIR, there is no specific allegation that any amount has been transferred to the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 21.11.2022.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Senior DAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab and seeks time to get instructions.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of

Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the abovesaid order dated 09.09.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Jorawar Singh, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 09.09.2022 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 09.09.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

21.11.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No