

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20742-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.03.2022

Muskanpreet Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Additional A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate,
for respondents No. 6, 8 and 9.

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the parties conjointly submit that in view of the decision rendered by the Supreme Court in **Civil Appeal No. 317 of 2022 (The State of Punjab Vs. Anshika Goyal and others)**, setting aside the judgment of this Court, vide which the respondent authorities were directed to give 3% reservation to the sports persons, nothing further survives in this petition for adjudication.

As far as the issue of admissions being made in sports quota and the criteria determining the *inter se* merit of the sports category candidates, it is conceded by the parties that the issue has been concluded by the Full Bench of this Court in **Amardeep Singh Sahota Vs. State of Punjab, 1993 (4) SCT 328.**

=

CWP-20742-2020 (O&M)

In view of the aforesaid conjoint statement made by learned counsel for the parties, as also the decisions of the Supreme Court in **Anshika Goyal and others (supra)** and the Full Bench in **Amardeep Singh Sahota (supra)**, the petition is disposed of.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

March 02, 2022

AK Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No