

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.28029 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision.19.05.2022

Mahender Singh

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Jarnail S. Saneta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

-.-

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.16466 of 2019

Prayer in the application is for listing the writ petition on an actual date of hearing.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and with the consent of parties, main petition is taken up for hearing today itself.

CWP No.28029 of 2018

Counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant writ petition, in view of the changed circumstances. It is argued that the petitioner was aggrieved against his dismissal order, which now stands converted to stoppage of five annual increments with permanent effect. It is submitted that the petitioner seeks to challenge the order of punishment of stoppage of five increments as well as to raise grievance against his reinstatement in service without back wages.

Dismissed as not pressed with liberty as aforesaid.

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

May 19, 2022

Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No