

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH**

255

**CWP-22204-2021 (O&M).  
Date of Decision: 29.11.2022.**

**Karamjit Singh**

... Petitioner

Versus

**Union of India and others**

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.**

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate, for respondent No.1/UOI

Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Mukesh Tomar, Advocate,  
for respondent No.3.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)**

**CM-18826-CWP-2022**

The instant application has been filed under Section 151 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1908 for placing on record additional documents i.e. latest Statement of Account, Foreclosure Report and Release Order as Annexures A-1 to A-3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that copy of the aforesaid documents has not been supplied to him.

Learned counsel for the respondent No.3 undertakes to supply copy of the aforesaid application along with accompanying documents to the learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of the day.

The application is allowed subject to all just exceptions.

Additional documents i.e. latest Statement of Account, Foreclosure Report and Release Order as Annexures A-1 to A-3 are taken on record.

Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.

Main case

The instant Civil Writ Petition has been under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950, praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents No.3 and 4 to immediately return back the commercial vehicle Make LPS-4018 bearing Registration No.PB-03-AJ-9193 to the petitioner.

Counsel for respondents No.3 contends that the vehicle in question has already been restored.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 further makes a statement that respondent No.3 shall take possession of the vehicle only in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, contends that the possession of the vehicle was taken by undue force and in an illegal manner by the police officials. He contends that a further payer has been made for taking action against the official respondents.

The principle grievance regarding the alleged forcible possession of the vehicle stands already redressed. There is no occasion for this Court to travel any further in the present petition.

Resultantly, there is no subsisting grievance and the present petition is disposed of accordingly.

Liberty is however, granted to the petitioner to take recourse to the alternative remedies for redressal of his grievance and to launch prosecution if so advised by his client.

Pending misc. applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of accordingly.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE

November 29, 2022.  
raj arora

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No

*Whether reportable* : Yes/No