

222

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8512-2016
Date of decision: 27.04.2022

GURCHARAN SINGH AND ORS.

...Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. R. K. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ashok Bazaz, Advocate
for respondent Nos.3 and 4.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The petitioners herein are aggrieved against the order dated 29.04.2016 (Annexure P-5) whereby they are sought to be repatriated by the Additional Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana to their parent department.

Learned counsel for the petitioners herein would contend that the impugned order has since been withdrawn as would be evident from para 4 of the short reply filed by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for respondent Nos.3 and 4 would submit that the petitioners herein were not found suitable for being retained in the Corporation and that is why they were being repatriated.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the aforesaid reply, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the instant petition and no further orders are called for, leaving it open for the Corporation to avail all remedies in accordance with law.

Consequently, the instant petition is disposed of as not pressed.

27.04.2022
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No