

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 202

CRM-M-44917-2021

Date of decision : 8.2.2022

Sachin and another

Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Abhishek Goyal, Advocate, for
Mr.Pardeep Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr.Sumit Jain, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioners seek anticipatory bail in FIR No.381 dated 28.9.2021 registered under Sections 323, 341, 427, 506, 34 of the IPC at Police Station Sadar Ballabgarh, District Faridabad.

On 28.10.2021, this Court had passed the following order: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioners inter-alia contends that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case at the behest of the complainant who is inimical towards them; the petitioners have no other criminal case pending against them; even if the case of the prosecution is taken as the gospel truth, though vehemently denied, no specific role is attributed to the petitioners; in any case simply injuries are found on the complainant's person and that the

petitioners are also ready and willing to join investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Sumit Jain, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 08.02.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioners' joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of their arrest in FIR No.381, dated 28.09.2021, registered under Sections 323, 341, 427, 506, 34 IPC at Police Station Sadar Ballabgarh, District Faridabad, they shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore quoted order the petitioners have joined the investigation; have cooperated with the investigating agency and that their custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In view of the above, the order of this Court dated 28.10.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

8.2.2022
gsv

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No