

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

129

CWP-21411-2022

Date of Decision :19.09.2022

Brij Mohan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Batish, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that against the order of termination, the petitioner has already filed a statutory appeal on 29.04.2022, copy of which has been appended with the present writ petition as Annexure P/16, which appeal is not being decided by the respondents, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only prayer which the petitioner is pressing in the present writ petition is that a direction be issued to the respondents to decide the appeal preferred by the petitioner in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and submits that in case the appeal dated 29.04.2022 (Annexure P-16) preferred by the petitioner has not been already decided by the respondents, the same will be decided within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

September 19, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No