

207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40955-2022

Date of Decision: 17.11.2022

Asif Khan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rohit Joshi, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0106, dated 12.08.2022, under Sections 420, 465, 467 & 471 IPC and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professional (Regulation) Act, 2014 and Section 24 of the Immigration Act, 1983 registered at Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court on 08.09.2022, the petitioner has deposited an amount of Rs.2,10,000/- before the learned trial Court in the shape of FDR. He further submitted that apart from the above, he was granted interim anticipatory bail by this Court on the aforesaid date and in pursuance thereof,

he has joined the investigation and has fully co-operated with the investigation process, and therefore, has prayed that the aforesaid order dated 08.09.2022 may be made absolute.

Mr. Amit Rana, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, has stated on instructions from A.S.I. Gurnaib Singh that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court on 08.09.2022, the petitioner has joined investigation and he has fully cooperated with the investigation process. He further submitted that he has a specific instructions to say that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation.

Mr. Rohit Joshi, learned counsel for the complainant has stated that he has verified from the complainant that the petitioner has deposited the aforesaid amount i.e. Rs.2,10,000/- in the form of FDR before the learned trial Court.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The dispute was *inter se* between the parties is with regard to the money and in pursuance of the undertaking given by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner has already deposited the amount of Rs.2,10,000/- before the learned trial Court and the same fact has not been disputed by the learned counsel for the complainant.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the specific stand taken by the learned State Counsel, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 08.09.2022 is hereby made absolute.

17.11.2022

Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |