

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(135)

CWP-20124-2022

Date of Decision : September 06, 2022

Manisha Bhaduriya

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, the petitioner submitted 15 options for being posted in the transfer drive being undertaken by the respondents but the petitioner was not allotted any of the 15 preferences given and after the transfers were effected on 28.08.2022, the petitioner came to know that two of the options submitted by the petitioner have been given to the Teachers who had secured less marks than the petitioner, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that now in another round of the transfers to be effected, the petitioner is being offered the stations which are far away from the present place of posting and keeping in view the family status, the same will cause prejudice to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner be transferred to a nearby station keeping in view the present place of posting.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the transfer drive has been undertaken through a computer and the preferences are fed in the computer without there being any manual interference at the hands of the Department. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that in case the petitioner has any grievance in respect of his options, though submitted, have not been taken into account in accordance with his marks for the transfer drive while effecting the transfers, he can represent to the authorities concerned with the said grievance the same will be looked into and appropriate order will be passed within a period of two weeks in case the said grievance is raised. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that in case, the petitioner brings to the notice of the authorities any posts which are lying vacant at any particular station of petitioner's choice, before issuing the transfer of the petitioner in another round claim of the petitioner will be considered qua those posts as well and if found feasible as per the transfer policy, will be accepted.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents with the said grievance.

Ordered accordingly.

September 06, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No