

SANTOSH

...Petitioner

Versus

ANSHAJ SINGH

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. SanchitPunia, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1, dated 23.08.2022 in CWP No.18544 of 2022 in case titled as Punam Rani and Ors. vs. State of Haryana and Ors.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 23.08.2022 reveals that CWP No.18544 of 2022 was disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent with regard to her subsisting grievance while respondent No.3 was directed to look into the same and to redress the grievance of the petitioner within seven days thereafter.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the representation filed by the petitioner has been rejected by the respondent vide order dated 09.09.2022, therefore, in the circumstances the petitioner does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 09.09.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of

learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner, as prayed for.

[5] Rule discharged.

29.09.2022

'Amit'

(B.S. Walia)

Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Whether reportable

:

:

Yes/No

Yes/No