

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(114)

CM-14407-CWP-2022 in/and
CWP-20918-2022

Date of Decision : September 14, 2022

Vinay Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

CM-14407-CWP-2022

As prayed for, the application is allowed.

CWP-20918-2022

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner was ignored for promotion to the post of Lecturer/PGT while passing the order dated 26.04.2016 (Annexure P-1) and the employees junior to the petitioner were promoted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that when the said grievance was raised by the petitioner, realizing the mistake, the petitioner was also promoted in July, 2016 but prospectively. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that next result is that juniors have been promoted from the date prior to that of petitioner, which is impermissible, hence the

respondents were under an obligation to grant the petitioner the benefit of promotion to the post of Lecturer/PGT w.e.f the date persons junior to him were promoted with all consequential benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by filing a representation dated 21.12.2018 (Annexure P-5), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 21.12.2018 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 21.12.2018 (Annexure P-5), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 21.12.2018 (Annexure P-5), if not already decided, within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

September 14, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No