

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(112) CWP No. 20116 of 2022(O&M)

Hira LalPetitioner
Vs.

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

(127) CWP No. 20096 of 2022

Jyoti RaniPetitioner
Vs.

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

(131) CWP No. 20105 of 2022

Kulbir and anotherPetitioners
Vs.

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

(139) CWP No. 20132 of 2022

Versha KumariPetitioner
Vs.

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

(142) CWP No. 20157 of 2022

Vikas NehraPetitioner
Vs.

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

Date of Decision:-06.09.2022**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Lekhraj Nandal, Advocate for the petitioner in
CWP No.20116 of 2022.
Mr. Jasbir Singh Mor, Advocate for the petitioner(s) in
CWP Nos.20096 of 2022 & 20105 of 2022.
Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate for the petitioner in
CWP No.20132 of 2022.
Mr. Vikas Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner in
CWP No.20157 of 2022.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(Oral)

CM-13837-CWP -2022 in CWP-20116-2022

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Main Writ Petitions.

The present *Civil Writ Petition Nos. 20116, 20096, 20105, 20132 and 20197 of 2022*, the details of which have been given in the heading of the order, are being disposed of by this common order, as these writ petitions having been similar facts raising same question of law.

Learned counsels for the petitioners argued that the petitioner(s) were transferred vide order dated 28.08.2022 and they joined the place of transfer but immediately within a period of one/two days of joining at the said place, another person has been posted at the place of the petitioner and the petitioner has been left without any place of posting, which act on the part of the respondent-authorities is contrary to law.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, Learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that, as of now, he does not have any instruction as to why the petitioners have been again transferred within a period of one/two days of their earlier transfer, but in case the petitioner(s) files a representation before the authorities concerned raising the said grievance, so as to make out their case that they are entitled to continue at the place of posting where they were transferred on 28.08.2022, the same will be considered in accordance with law, coupled with the transfer policy and an appropriate order on the said representation will be passed and till the claim of

the petitioner(s) is decided by the competent authority, the petitioner(s) will be allowed to continue at the place of posting where they were posted vide order dated 28.08.2022.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that the petitioner(s) be bound to file the representation within a period of seven days from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner(s) will file an appropriate representation with the concerned authorities , as being requested by the learned State counsel and keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel that till the claim of the petitioner(s) is decided, they will be allowed to continue at their present place of posting, no further grievance of the petitioner(s) survives and the present petition may kindly be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner(s) to approach the respondent-authorities.

Ordered accordingly.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of the other connected cases.

06.09.2022

Anjal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No