

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8707-2022

DECIDED ON: 9th SEPTEMBER, 2022

AMIT SATIJA AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Rajiv Kataria, Advocate for
Ms. Shruty Bhimwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The instant petition has been preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature mandamus directing the respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 11.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that he moved representation dated 26.08.2022 (Annexure P-13) as well its reminder dated 02.09.2022 (Annexure P-14) to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka but till date no action has been taken on the same.

He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the same in accordance with law within a stipulated period.

Notice of motion to official respondents only at this stage.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab,

accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to supply the complete copy of paper book to learned State counsel during the course of day.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to look into the grievances raised by the petitioners in their representations (Annexure P-13 & P-14) and pass a speaking order within a period of four weeks from today.

9th SEPTEMBER, 2022
sham

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>