

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM-M No. 35333 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.4.2022

Narain Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sant Kashyap, Advocate
for respondent No. 4.

None for respondents No. 5 to 7.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Since in respect of allegations, as carried in the apposite representation, to which Annexure P-3 is assigned, it is fairly submitted, at the bar, by the learned counsel for the petitioner, that an application cast under Order 39 Rule 2-A CPC, has been instituted, levelling therein allegations qua the defendants-non decree holders, and, against whom also allegations are made in Annexure P-3, qua theirs purportedly willfully, and, intentionally violating the mandate of an *ad interim* injunction, being passed, by the learned Civil Judge concerned.

2. Therefore, since the afore remedy is the most efficacious, and, befitting one, than the remedy, as is chosen to be availed through Annexure P-3, rather against the non decree holders-defendants concerned.

Consequently, the instant petition does not survive, and, is dismissed as

such. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to avail all appropriate remedies, before the Civil Court concerned.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

April 19, 2022
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No