

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40865 of 2022

DATE OF DECISION :- September 09, 2022

Rajinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate for the petitioner.

This is second petition for grant of pre-arrest bail filed on behalf of petitioner Rajinder Kaur in case F.I.R No. 233 dated 24.7.2020 for offences under Sections 420, 406, 120-B IPC registered with Police station Haibowal, Ludhiana. The first such petition was dismissed by this Court vide detailed order dated 12.01.2021, copy of which has been placed on record as Annexure P-5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has come to this Court seeking pre-arrest bail on account of change in circumstances since the matter has been compromised between the parties.

May it be so. The Hon'ble Apex Court in recent judgment **G.R. Ananda Babu Versus The State of Tamil Nadu & Anr.** arising out of SLP (Crl.) No. 213 of 2021 has observed as under :

“As a matter of fact, successive anticipatory bail applications ought not to be entertained and more so, when the case diary and the status report, clearly indicated that the accused (respondent No. 2) is absconding and not cooperating with the

investigation. The specious reason of change in circumstances cannot be invoked for successive anticipatory bail applications, once it is rejected by a speaking order and that too by the same Judge.”

Therefore, the present petition is not maintainable and stands dismissed accordingly.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

September 09, 2022
p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No