

208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.40671 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 06.12.2022

Bikramjit Singh

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, DAG, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has prayed for grant of pre-arrest bail in FIR No.114 dated 12.05.2022 registered under Sections 420/408 IPC, at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar.

On 07.09.2022 while issuing notice of motion the following order was passed:-

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.114 dated 12.05.2022 for offences punishable under Section 420 and 408 IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar, the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C seeking pre-arrest bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the precise allegation against the petitioner is that he opened a fictitious account and has directed the cheques issued by the employer-Company into the said account and thereby he caused loss of Rs.5-6 lacs. However, no details of the cheques, much less the dates on which the same were got drawn by the employer have been given in the FIR. He further submits that the petitioner was merely working as a Surveyor for the Repair shop and has nothing to do with the purchase. The whole of the case is based on

documentary evidence and therefore, custodial interrogation of the petitioner will not be required.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Mr. Gurdarshan Singh Sidhu, Asstt. A.G., Punjab who is present in Court accepts notice.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when called, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

To come up on 06.12.2022. ”

Today, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Satnam Singh, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 07.09.2022, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

In view of above, the interim order dated 07.09.2022 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

This petition stands disposed off.

Needless to say that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

06.12.2022
Pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No