

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-44896-2021
Date of decision: 28.04.2022**

Tanveer Khan alias Teja Khan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harpinder Singh Jalal, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 134 dated 23.09.2021, registered under Section 307 and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Dayalpura, District Bathinda.

The operative part of the order dated 14.01.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has instructions to say that the petitioner will pay a sum of Rs. 3. 5 Lakh in two installments to the complainant/victim towards his medical expenses, without any prejudice to his right of defence.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant are not averse to the same.

Adjourned to 28.04.2022.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 14.01.2022, has already appeared before the

SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation. It is further submitted that the petitioner has handed over two demand drafts of Rs. 3.5 Lakh to the complainant, photocopies of which are placed on record. It is further submitted that another amount of Rs. 2 Lakh has also been handed over to complainant on 27.04.2022 by way of the demand draft.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, as well as learned State counsel for the complainant have not disputed the factual. Learned State counsel further submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 14.01.2022, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

28.04.2022*Waseem Ansari***(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**Yes/No
Yes/No*