

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

135

CWP-20202-2022

Date of Decision :07.09.2022

Pooja**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Parth Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is Post Graduate in English but, initially the percentage of the petitioner in the said Post Graduate degree was not 50% and therefore, the petitioner applied for improvement examination and after appearing in the improvement examination, the petitioner secured 50% passing marks in M.A. English and, hence, became eligible to be considered for appointment to the post of TGT English keeping in view the advertisement No.9/2015 (Annexure P/2). Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents while considering the claim of the petitioner have not considered the marks secured by the petitioner in improvement examination of M.A. English and declared her ineligible and appointment to the said post.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner was eligible to be appointed in all respect as the result of the improvement examination was declared prior to the last date of submission

of form for appointment and required certificate was already submitted by the petitioner with the Recruiting Agency hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to consider the claim of the petitioner against the post of TGT English as advertised vide advertisement No.9/2015 (Annexure P/2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of filing the representation dated 16.08.2022 (Annexure P/27), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent-State to decide the said representation by passing appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 16.08.2022 (Annexure P/27) filed by the petitioner in a time bound manner by passing speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in her representation, respondent No.3 is directed to decide the representation dated 16.08.2022 (Annexure P/27) by passing a speaking order within a period of three weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

September 07, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No