

CRWP-8587-2022

Date of decision: 14.11.2022

Raj Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR**Present:** Mr. Ajay Kumar Rana, Advocate
for petitioner No.2.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 10.**NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)**

This petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 10 and to restrain them not to harass or interfere in the life of the petitioners. It has further been prayed to direct respondents No.1 to 3 to decide the representations dated 01.09.2022 (Annexures P-5 and P-6) moved by the petitioners.

Learned counsel for petitioner No.2 submits that he pleads no instructions from petitioner No.2 regarding the present case.

Mr. Suresh Kumar, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of petitioner No.1 and filed power of attorney along with no objection from the counsel earlier representing petitioner No.1, in the Court as well as affidavit of petitioner No.1-Raj Kaur, which are taken on record. He seeks permission of the Court to withdraw the present petition.

Relevant portion of the said affidavit is reproduced as under:-

- 2. That the petitioner No.1-Raj Kaur does not want to proceed with the present petition, as she came to know that the petitioner No.2 who is friend of deceased-husband of the petitioner No.1 is a very greedy person his conduct with the petitioner No.1 is very cruel and in fact he wants to grab the properties of petitioner No.1.
- 3. That earlier petitioner No.2 due to the coercion method has taken an affidavit from the petitioner No.1 regarding live under relationship with him, but thereafter, the petitioner No.1 came to know from his conduct that in fact he is not a genuine person and he only wants to grab his properties and he is emotionally blackmailing petitioner No.1.
- 4. That the petitioner No.1 does not want to have any relation with petitioner No.2 and she wants to live separately with her kids and family peacefully.
- 5. That the petitioner No.1 has already complained against petitioner No.2 before the police regarding his conduct and other illegal activities.
- 6. That if any affidavit of petitioner No.1 with petitioner No.2 is executed for living relations,t he same may kindly be treated as void document.
- 7. That thus, keeping in view the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioner No.1 does not pursue the present petition and wants to withdraw the same qua her.

In view of the above, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and, therefore, no further orders are required to be passed in this case.

Disposed off as having been rendered infructuous.

14.11.2022
Neha

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No