

COCp-3035-2019

Date of Decision :29.09.2022

RAJ BALA

...Petitioner

Versus

ANURAG RASTOGI AND OTHERS

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Surinder Gandhi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1, dated 03.12.2018 in CWP No.20022 of 2014 in case titled as Raj Bala vs. State of Haryana and Ors.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 03.12.2018 reveals that CWP No.20022 of 2014 was disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to file a representation for seeking interest on the payments released to her within four weeks and in case any such representation was filed by the petitioner within the stipulated period of time, the respondent would pass a speaking order within a period of next three months.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the claim as contained in the representation filed by the petitioner has been rejected vide order dated 19.03.2020, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner, as prayed for.

[5] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

29.09.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No