

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40719-2022

Date of Decision: 12.09.2022

Veeru Singh @ Veer

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

233-A

CRM-M-40727-2022

Raman Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Manu Loona, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Yaseen Sethi, Advocate, for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

1. This order will dispose of two petitions filed under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioners in FIR No. 98, dated 25.05.2022, under Sections 307/506/148/149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Sadar, District Fazilka.
2. The first case bearing CRM-M-40719-2022 has been filed by petitioner Veeru Singh and the second case bearing CRM-M-40727-2022 has been filed by petitioner - Raman Singh.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners in both the cases has

submitted that the petitioners are in custody since 25.05.2022 and the investigation is complete and the '*challan*' has already been presented and there 15 witnesses out of which, none have been examined and thus, the trial is likely to take time and the petitioners are not involved in any other criminal cases. It is further submitted that the petitioner Veeru Singh is not stated to be armed with any weapon and there is no specific allegations against the petitioner Veeru Singh.

4. With respect to the petitioner Raman Singh, it is argued that although, he is stated to have been armed with a pistol and fired two shots but, no injury has been suffered by any person on account of the same. It is further submitted that the matter has been compromised and has referred to the compromised dated 07.07.2022 in support of his argument.

5. Learned State counsel, on the other hand, has opposed the present petition for regular bail and has submitted that co-accused Mukhtiar Singh had inflicted a gun shot injury on the stomach of the complainant.

6. Learned counsel for the complainant has submitted that the matter has been compromised and has stated that the said compromise is genuine and has been entered into without any pressure and undue influence. It is further submitted that he has no objection in case the present petitions for regular bail are allowed.

7. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties and has perused the paper book.

8. The petitioners have been in custody since 25.05.2022 and the investigation is complete and the challan has already been presented and there are 15 witnesses, out of which, none have been examined and thus, the

trial is likely to take time.

9. The petitioners are stated to be not involved in any other criminal case. The petitioner Veeru Singh was stated to be not armed with any weapon and the petitioner Raman Singh although stated to have fired two shots but the same has not caused any injury to the complainant. The matter, even as per the stand of the complainant, has been compromised.

10. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail / surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate and subject to them not being required in any other case and also subject to the petitioners giving an undertaking to the trial Court that they would appear before the trial Court on each and every date unless his personal appearance is specifically exempted by the Court.

11. However, it is made clear that in case, any act is done by the petitioners to threaten or influence the complainant or any of the witnesses, then it would be open to the State to move an application for cancellation of bail granted to the petitioners.

12. Nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petitions.

**September 12, 2022**  
nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

**(VIKAS BAHL)**  
**JUDGE**  
Yes/No  
Yes/No