

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CRWP-8655-2022

Date of Decision:09.09.2022

Gurmukh Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Jastej Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Aggrieved by the repeated un-necessary threat calls, the petitioner has come up before this Court seeking more police protection in the light of recommendations of CID.

The nature of order this Court proposes to pass, no response is required from the state.

Let the petitioner make an appropriate representation to the concerned SSP-respondent No.4 and he shall decide the same exercising his discretion independent of this order, ***within three working days*** from its receipt, by passing a speaking and reasoned order and communicate the order so passed to the representationist without any delay.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, he shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

Petition is allowed to the extent mentioned above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)

JUDGE

09.09.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No