

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

115

CWP No.20561 of 2022
Date of Decision: 09.09.2022

Mukesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. G.S. Gopera, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was transferred vide order dated 28.08.2022, which transfer was accepted by the petitioner and joined but immediately within a period of four days, he was again transferred on 01.09.2022, which order of transfer is bad and the petitioner has been shifted within a period of three days and that too without any valid justification.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said grievance has already been raised by the petitioner before the authorities concerned by filing representation dated 03.09.2022 a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-10 and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the same by passing appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, representation dated 03.09.2022 (Annexure P-10), if not already decided then the same will be decided within a period of 10 days from today by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view

the statement of the learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioners survives at this stage and the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

09.09.2022
manju

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No