

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(214-2)

CWP No. 28977 of 2017

Date of Decision : 09.11.2022

Babu Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the transfer policy, which has been effected now, the petitioner does not wish to press this petition any further but be given liberty to approach the respondents in case, any action of the respondents causes prejudice to the petitioner while effecting the transfers.

Learned State counsel submits that in case any grievance is raised by the petitioner by filing any representation, the same will be decided by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above said statement, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further, with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

November 09, 2022

kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No