

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

106+219

CM-4224-CWP-2020 in /and CWP-7810-2016

DATE OF DECISION: 04.07.2022

SUKHCHAIN SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

PUNJAB STATE POWER CORP LTD AND ORS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. V.K. Shukla, Advocate and
Mr. Uday Jain, Advocate for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Ashu Kaushik, Advocate for the PSPCL-respondents.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

CM-4224-2020

This application is for placing on record replication to the written statement.

The application is allowed and replication is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

MAIN CASE

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondents to grant him deemed date of promotion with effect from the date his colleague namely Harmail Singh had been granted promotion.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who was working as a regular Team Mate had completed 2555 days of service for eligibility of promotion as Assistant Lineman but he was not promoted. He also submits that the petitioner had completed 2922 days of service. He has referred to the copy of the order of the Additional Superintending Engineer (Operation City Division) Moga.

Learned counsel for the respondents while referring to the reply submits that as the petitioner was working in another division and had not completed requisite period of service in the division where his colleague had been promoted, the case of the petitioner was not considered for promotion. He also submits that when the petitioner had completed requisite period of service in the division, he had been promoted as Lineman. He also stated that the petitioner had been promoted in the year 2006 and after 9 years, he has preferred the instant petition.

Heard.

It is manifest that the petitioner, who is an under-Matriculate, had been promoted as Assistant Lineman by the order dated 471 dated 02.08.2006. He is seeking parity with the colleague who was promoted by the order dated 19.09.2000. The petitioner, at that time, was working in another division. The instant petition has also been filed after a period of 9 years of his order of promotion.

Consequently, I do not find any merit in the instant petition which stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

04.07.2022

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No