

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-44485 of 2021

Date of Decision: 12th May, 2022

Mohd. Shahvar

Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in case of FIR No.356 dated 8.5.2021, under Sections 18-A, 18(a), 18(c), 27(B)(c)(ii), 28 of Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 and 3 and 7 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955 and 120-B, 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Yamuna Nagar City, District Yamuna Nagar.

The allegation in the FIR is that the petitioner forged the labels of antibiotic injections and supplied the same as Remdesivir injections.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR, he was nominated on the basis of disclosure statement, there was recovery of Rs.2500/- from him. He further relies upon the fact that co-accused were granted bail by this court.

Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of bail and submits that it is a crime against humanity. When the world was facing the Pandemic situation, in which the person like the petitioner was out to encash the situation. She on instructions submits that the challan stands

presented and investigation is complete. She further submits that forged labels and one laptop were recovered from the petitioner.

Petitioner was named in disclosure statement. Recovery from the petitioner is of Rs.2500/-, forged labels and laptop. Investigation is complete, conclusion of trial is likely to take time. Co-accused were granted bail by this Court. No useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars, bail is granted to the petitioner on furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition is allowed.

It is clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

12th May, 2022

mk

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |