

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8558-2022

Date of Decision:- **06.09.2022**

SUMIT SHARMA AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

-.-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

-.-

Present:- Ms. Ramanjit Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

-.-

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioners allege that both of them are major and are into live-in relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 8 who are related to petitioner No.2.

The counsel for the petitioners has asserted that petitioner No.2 got married with respondent No.4, who used to ill-treat her and as such petitioner No.2 left matrimonial home and started living with petitioner No.1.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the aforesaid private respondents have threatened to cause harm to both the petitioners and in this regard, representation (Annexure P-3) was given on 1.9.2022 to respondent

No.2 but till date no action has been taken by the concerned authority in this regard.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Chaman Lal Pawar, Addl. A.G. accepts notice on behalf of the State of Punjab. He submits that the concerned authority will look into the matter and take decision on the representation of the petitioners in accordance with law.

In view of above, without going into merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider representation dated 1.9.2022 (Annexure P-3) and to provide appropriate protection to the petitioners if so warranted. However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the relationship between the petitioners.

Respondents No. 4 to 8 are at liberty to avail appropriate legal remedy against the petitioners.

In case the petitioners have committed any offence, the law will take its own course.

06.09.2022
Gaurav Sorot

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No