

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

106

CWP-21461-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 03.08.2022

VIKRAM SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Narinder Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Shalender Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking appointment on compassionate grounds as his father has expired while working as Peon.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate grounds has been erroneously rejected. He further submits that only a paltry amount of Rs.4 lakh had been disbursed to the family members of the petitioner on the death of his father. The petitioner would be entitled to appointment on compassionate grounds.

The case of the petitioner has been rejected by the impugned order on the ground that there is no provision for appointment on compassionate grounds in the Rules. The Rules provide for a lump sum amount of Rs.4 lakh to the dependent of the deceased employee. Therefore in the absence of any Rules/instructions providing for compassionate appointment, I do not find any merit in this petition which stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

03.08.2022

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No