

COCp-2850-2019

Date of Decision : 14.07.2022

Inderjit Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Krishan Kumar Sharma and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. R.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankit Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent(s) for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 12.03.2014, in CWP-4682-2014.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) dated 12.03.2014, reveals that CWP-4682-2014, was allowed in terms of decision in VedParkash vs. State of Punjab decided on 16.09.2010, by further ordering that after notional fixation, the petitioner would be entitled to release of revised/enhanced arrears of pension restricted to 38 months prior to 12.03.2014.

[3] Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents has filed reply by way of affidavit of the Managing Director, PRTC, Patiala, as per which a sum of Rs.35,968/- on account of arrears of revised pension (Rs.29,979/-) and revised gratuity (Rs.5989/-) has been released to the petitioner. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that the payment has been

not press the instant petition but prays for liberty to file an appropriate petition in accordance with law to claim interest on the delayed payment of arrears.

[4] The same is not opposed to by the learned counsel for the respondents.

[5] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty as prayed for.

[6] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

14.07.2022
ps

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>