

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-44415-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.03.2022

Deepak

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.40 dated 10.09.2021 under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime Faridabad, District Faridabad.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 02.12.2021: -

“...In pursuance to the order of this Court dated 10.11.2021, the State has filed a short reply which is ordered to be taken on record.

On request of learned State counsel, adjourned to 31.03.2022 for arguments.

Seeking ad-interim anticipatory bail, learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner, who is a 23 year old young boy, has been falsely implicated in this case; there is no other criminal case pending against him; the complainant has already been repaid the entire amount in question; co-accused Abhishek, Himanshu and Varsha have already been granted regular bail by the Trial Court and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

After considering the above submissions, it is directed that till the adjourned date, subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.40 dated 10.09.2021, registered under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Cyber Crime Faridabad, District Faridabad, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.

Before the adjourned date, after joining the petitioner in investigation, the State shall file a fresh status report...”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Parmod Kumar,

has not disputed the factual position.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 02.12.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

31.03.2022
vishnu

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No