

S.No.204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44317 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision:13.01.2022

Harpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Ms. Satwant Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J.

This is first petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C seeking grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.167 dated 30.04.2020 registered under Sections 324, 188, 269, 34 IPC and Section 326 IPC (added later on vide DDR No.028 dated 01.05.2020) at Police Station Goindwal Sahib District Tarn Taran.

On 22.10.2021, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter-alia, contends that the present case is a case of version and cross-version and an injury on the left arm has also been inflicted upon the petitioner and the petitioner has been attributed an injury on the right arm with a datar , which as per the MLR is a simple injury.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, learned DAG, Punjab, appears

and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 13.01.2022.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner and the State Counsel on instructions from ASI Lakhwinder Singh, submit that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not further required for investigation.

Keeping in view the facts as noticed in the order dated 22.10.2021 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not further required for investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 22.10.2021 is made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petition.

January 13, 2022

renu

(VIKAS BAHL)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No