

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20538 of 2020

Date of Decision:-12.07.2022

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Parth Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous but only grievance of the petitioner which survives is that certain period, for which the petitioner was not allowed to join the duty despite an interim order by this Court, is not being regularized by the respondents.

Learned State counsel submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner raising the said grievance, appropriate order on the same will be passed within a period of eight weeks from the date of filing the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed at this stage

keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents-State.

Ordered accordingly.

July 12, 2022
Vijay Asija

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No