

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44572-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.08.2022

Kushbag Singh @ Bhola

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Armaan Gagneja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition filed under Section 439 read with Section 482 of Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.0057 dated 10.08.2021, registered under Sections 306 and 34 IPC and Section 3/4 of SC & ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, at Police Station Lakhewali, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, Punjab.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegations against the petitioner are false; that the petitioner has no connection or concern with the abetment of suicide committed by Jagmeet Singh-brother of the complainant; that as per the contents of the FIR, Satveer Kaur had instances of running away with the petitioner on several earlier occasions, but there was nothing on record that the complainant or his family members had ever approached the police in this regard; that even otherwise, Jagmeet Singh had committed suicide after 12 days of his wife's alleged running away and that merely because the petitioner was having an extra-marital relation with

Satveer Kaur, is no ground to presume the guilt on the part of the petitioner. It is further submitted that co-accused, namely, Gora Singh @ Gorkha Singh and Harjeet Kaur, have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court, vide orders dated 10.09.2021 and 12.10.2021, respectively. The petitioner has been in custody since 12.08.2021.

Learned State counsel, while opposing the bail, submits that the petitioner was specifically named in the FIR as well as in the suicide note left behind by Jagmeet Singh and that in case, the petitioner is released on bail, every effort will be made by him to either tamper with the evidence or win over the witnesses.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the paper-book.

The petitioner has specifically been named in the FIR as well as in the suicide note. The indictment of the petitioner on the basis of such suicide note cannot be said to be unfounded, especially when the wife of the deceased was having an extra-marital relation with the petitioner. Thus, the allegations against the petitioner are grave and serious. It may be noticed that co-accused Satveer Kaur's bail petition has been dismissed by this Court vide an order of even date.

The petitioner does not stand on any different footing. Therefore, the allegations against the petitioner being grave and serious, he is not entitled to the grant of concession of bail by this Court.

Dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

05.08.2022
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No