

ARUN KHURANA VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

Present: Mr. Arjun Attri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

1. This Court on 28.10.2021, on the basis of compromise arrived at amongst the concerned, had ordered for the composition of the offence, constituted under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instrument Act, 1881, in respect whereof, concurrent verdict of conviction, and, consequent therewith sentence, became imposed upon the petitioner. However, the order of composition, as made on 28.10.2021, and, also, the orderings for acceptance of the petition, and, the quashing of the concurrent verdicts, made by both the Courts below, was made subject to the petitioner, depositing within six weeks from 28.10.2021, 15% of the cheque amount in the State Legal Services Authority concerned.

2. The above order has been complied with, on the date subsequent to the making of the order, hence with the condition(s) in the order concerned, becoming complied with, thereupon, the apposite judgment, as made by this Court, is not required to be recalled.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

April 25, 2022
Gurpreet