

CWP-21598-2021

Date of Decision: 19.07.2022

Ratinder Singh

....Petitioner

VS

Union of India and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTALPresent: Mr. P.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitionerMs. Alisha Arora, Advocate
for the respondents

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was issued a passport dated 05.04.2019 in which his place of birth has been mentioned as Chandigarh. Realizing that a mistake had taken place an application dated 15.09.2021 was filed for incorporation of the correct date of birth. The basis of this application is birth certificate Annexure P-2. Necessary incorporation having not been done the present writ petition has been filed.

The matter has been adjourned from time to time as learned counsel for the respondents had submitted that communication had been sent to the Registrar of Births and Deaths, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana regarding verification of the birth certificate. Today also learned counsel for the respondents submits that no response has been received from the Registrar of Births and Deaths till date and thus, the matter may be adjourned.

A perusal of the record shows that the birth certificate of the petitioner was registered on 19.05.2000 i.e. much before the issuance of the passport. It, thus, appears to be a genuine document.

The writ petition is, accordingly, allowed and the respondents are directed to incorporate the place of birth on the basis of birth certificate Annexure P-2. However, in case at a later date it comes to the light that the birth certificate is not genuine, the passport authorities would be at liberty to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

19.07.2022
reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No