

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

231

CRM-M-45540-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.03.2022

ROHIT ALIAS BHIMA

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sauhard Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. TS Grewal, Advocate for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

CRM-11292-2022

This is an application for placing on record Annexures A-1
to A-3.

Notice of the application.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana and Mr. Sauhard Singh,
Advocate for the petitioner, accept notice and submit that they have no
objection if the present application is allowed.

In view of the above, the present application is allowed.

Annexures A-1 to A-3 are taken on record, subject to all just
exceptions.

Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

CRM-11291-2022

This is an application for impleading complainant, namely,
Reshma Devi wife of Surender Kumar Rana, as respondent No.2 in the
present case.

Notice of the application.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana and Mr. Sauhard Singh, Advocate for the petitioner, accept notice and submit that they have no objection if the present application is allowed.

In view of the above, the present application is allowed.

Complainant, namely, Reshma Devi wife of Surender Kumar Rana, is hereby impleaded as respondent No.2 in the present case.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record. Registry is directed to place the same at an appropriate place.

CRM-M-45540-2021

At the outset, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for complainant/respondent No.2 submits that out of total 27 prosecution witnesses, 20 have already been examined.

Upon this, learned Senior counsel confines his arguments that the petitioner would be satisfied if the learned trial Court is directed to conclude the trial in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for complainant/respondent No.2 does not dispute the aforesaid prayer made by the learned Senior counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned trial Court concerned to conclude the trial, preferably within three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

25.03.2022

Aman Jain

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No