

**120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8547-2022

Date of Decision: September 05, 2022

Ankit and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Ashok K. Sharma (Bhana), Advocate for the petitioners.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 7, as they have attained majority and married against the wishes of their family members.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners will be satisfied if the Superintendent of Police, Sonipat i.e. respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation dated 01.09.2022 (Annexure P-5), made to him by the petitioners in this regard and take appropriate action on the same.

As per averments made in the petition, both the petitioners are major. Dates of birth of both the petitioners are 16.03.2001 and 02.09.2003, respectively. Copy of birth certificate of petitioner No.1 and copy of Aadhar card of petitioner No.2 are appended with the petition as Annexures P-1 and P-2, respectively. They got married on 01.09.2022 as per Marriage Certificate, Annexure P-4. Photographs of the marriage are Annexure P-3.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

In view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners and without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Sonipat to look into the representation dated 01.09.2022 (Annexure P-5) and decide the same expeditiously, in accordance with law.

September 05, 2022
sarita

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No