

296 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-1887-2022

Date of Decision : 23.09.2022

SURINDER KUMAR

...Petitioner

versus

DEEPA HILORI THEN SSP
LUDHIANA RURAL AND OTHERS

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : None for the petitioner.
Mr. Sehajbir Singh Aulakh, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 06.07.2022 in CRM-M No.27193 of 2022 in case titled as Surinder Kumar vs. State of Punjab and ors.

2. A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CRM-M No.27193 of 2022 was disposed of by directing the respondents concerned, to take a decision on the representation filed by the petitioner by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within three weeks from 06.07.2022.

3. Learned AAG has furnished reply by way of affidavit of respondent No.2 along with copy of speaking order Annexure R-1/T dated 25.07.2022. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof is stated to have already been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner. Learned AAG states that the representation of the petitioner was considered but

finding no merit in the same, was rejected vide Annexure R-1/T dated

25.07.2022, therefore, in the circumstances, no action is called for against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

4. None is present on behalf of the petitioner nor for that matter, was anyone present on behalf of the petitioner on the previous date nor has any request for adjournment or pass over been made. Accordingly, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant petition pending.

5. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order, Annexure R-1/T dated 25.07.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law. Liberty is also granted to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the contempt petition, if cause survives.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

23.09.2022
Amit

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No