

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 30.09.2022

256+257

**CM-15023-CWP-2022 IN
CM-14702-CWP-2022 IN / AND
CWP-19788-2022 (O&M)**

HARYANA POWER PURCHASE CENTER

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE BANK OF INDIA AND ORS.

... Respondents

A N D

**CM-15011-CWP-2022 IN
CM-14681-CWP-2022 IN / AND
CWP-20973-2022 (O&M)**

PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD.

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE BANK OF INDIA AND ORS.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Ms. Sonia Madan, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-19788-2022.

Mr. Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-20973-2022.

Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate
for respondent No.1 and 2 in CWP-20973-22 &
for respondent No.2 CWP-19788-2022.

Mr. Puneet Bali, Sr. Advocate assisted by
Mr. Shreshth Sharma, Mr. Sahil Sharma and
Mr. Neelkandan Rahate, Advocates
for the applicant-respondent No.4.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Reply to the application under Article 226(3) of the Constitution
of India for vacation of has been filed today in Court. Copy thereof has been
furnished to the learned counsel for the non-applicant/petitioner.

Learned counsel for the parties have submitted that against the impugned order, the parties have already preferred an appeal before the Appellate Authority and the same is yet to be listed for adjudication on the interim application and/or the final relief.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of Coastal Gujarat Power Ltd. as well as TATA Power Company Ltd. submits that since the statutory remedy of appeal has already been availed of, he has no objection in case, the protection extended to the petitioners vide interim order dated 02.09.2022 and the order dated 15.09.2022 is extended for a period of fifteen days from today or till the I.A. accompanying with the statutory appeal is taken up for hearing.

Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners have no objection to it.

Accordingly, the hearing of the main petitions is postponed from 30.11.2022 and the same are taken on the Board today itself.

In view of the above statement made by the learned counsel for the generating companies, the learned counsel for the petitioner(s) do not press the present petitions at this stage.

Disposed of as not pressed at this stage.

Needless to mention that the respondents No.3 and 4 i.e. Coastal Gujarat Power Ltd. as well as TATA Power Company Ltd. shall remain bound by the statement by their counsel today in Court.

30.09.2022
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No