

In virtual Court

254

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10834-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.03.2022

Vivek Arya

... Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. N.K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India with
Ms. Saigeeta Srivastava, Central Govt. Counsel and
Mr. Sunish Bindlish, Advocate
for respondents No.1 & 2.

Mr. Rajesh Sethi, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and take appropriate action against respondents No.15 to 20 and also to conduct proper investigation in FIR No.664 dated 19.10.2016 under Sections 34, 406, 420, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Samalkha, District Panipat.

In virtual Court

CRWP-10834-2021 (O&M)

-2-

Learned State counsel, on the basis of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Samalkha, Panipat, submits that a detailed inquiry was conducted by SIT of CID, as there are various orders passed by the police authorities to conduct investigation from different authorities. It is further stated that statements of all the parties were recorded by the Investigating Officer and finally, as per the conclusion, it is found that there is no truth in the representation dated 02.09.2021, therefore, cancellation report was prepared by SHO, Police Station Samalkha, Panipat on 13.11.2021 and it was approved by DSP, Panipat and the same is submitted before the Illaqa Magistrate on 29.11.2021.

In view of the above, no further action is called for in the present petition and the same is disposed of.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a protest petition before the Illaqa Magistrate, if so advised.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

15.03.2022
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No