

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RFA No.2677 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.11.2022

Savita and another

...Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Harshvardhan Ranga, Advocate
Mr. Nitish Sharma, Advocate

Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate
Mr. N.S. Gill, Advocate
Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate,
Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate
Ms. Shalini Sharma, Advocate
Mr. Shashikant Gupta, Advocate
Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate
for the landowners.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana
Mr. Harsh Vardhan, A.A.G. Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

CM-6040-CI-2021

The prayer in this application is to condone the delay of 829 days in filing the appeal.

Admittedly, the connected appeals arising from the same notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, are pending. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Imrat Lal and others vs. Land Acquisition Collector and others (SC) 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 437, Dhiraj Singh (deceased) thr. LRs vs. Haryana State and others, 2015(2) RCR (Civil) 507 and Jethu Ram (deceased) thr. LRs vs. Union of India and others, 2016(4) Law Herald 3166**, has held that in such cases the delay be condoned subject to the denial of interest to the appellants for the period of delay.

Hence, the application is allowed and delay of 829 days in filing the appeal is condoned, subject to the condition that the appellants shall not be entitled to interest for the period of delay.

For orders, see order of even date passed in **Regular First Appeal No.1192 of 2018**, titled as **State of Haryana and Ors. Vs. Sanwal Singh and Anr.**

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

10.11.2022
Sachin M.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No