

229 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-39903-2022

Date of Decision: 18.11.2022

RAVINDER SINGH AND ANR.

..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Rajat Malhotra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Digvijay Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Puja Chopra, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 at the outset pointed out that petitioner on the earlier occasion filed CRM-M-3593-2021 seeking quashing of FIR in question. The petition was dismissed as withdrawn, thus, second petition, especially when the petitioner has failed to point out this fact in present petition, is not maintainable.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to file fresh, if permissible by law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to avail remedy as
permissible by law.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

18.11.2022

Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>