

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.156

CWP No.19817 of 2022

Date of Decision: 05.09.2022

Pooja Sharma

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner applied for the post of TGT English in respect of the advertisement of the year 2014. While submitting the form, the petitioner had applied under the EBPGC category. Thereafter, the advertisement in pursuance to which the petitioner had applied for, was withdrawn and another advertisement was issued being advertisement No. 9 dated 23.07.2015 wherein, inadvertently, while filling the form, the petitioner mentioned her category as general category.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after receiving the roll number wherein, the category of the petitioner was mentioned as General, the petitioner filed a representation dated 19.10.2015 (Annexure P-5) before respondent No.3, for the correction/change of her category from General to EBPGC, which is still pending consideration with respondent No.3 and as, the result of the competition is yet to be declared, respondent No.3 be directed to pass appropriate order on the representation of the petitioner dated 19.10.2015 (Annexure P-5) as to whether, the claim of the petitioner for the change/correction of her category has been accepted or not.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation of the petitioner dated 19.10.2015 (Annexure P-5) has not been decided so far, the same will be decided by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

05.09.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No