

**In The High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-44564-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 20.7.2022

Amit @ Miti

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has informed that as on date 15 out of cited 16 PWs have been examined and that the only PW left is an official witness and that all efforts would be made by the prosecution to produce the said witness on the next date as may be fixed by the trial Court.

Faced with the aforestated position, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant petition at this stage.

In view of the aforestated submission, the instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh in case there is any inordinate delay in conclusion of trial. The trial Court is directed to take all necessary steps for recording statement of the sole official witness who

remains to be examined as it has been stated specifically by learned State counsel that 15 out of the cited 16 PWs have already been examined.

20.7.2022

mohan

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No